

TS

Review

— Introduction

~~Weak stationarity~~

$z(w, t)$, $w \in S$, $t \in T$. Assume $I = \mathbb{Z}$.

$z(w_0, t) = \text{sample function or realization}$

$z(w, t_0) = r.v.$

Simply write $z(w_0, t) = z_t$. The population that consists of all possible realizations = time series.

Mean function of the process : $\mu_t = E(z_t)$.

Var : $\sigma_z^2 = E[(z_t - \mu_t)^2]$.

(Func)Cov : $\gamma(t_1, t_2) = E[(z_{t_1} - \mu_{t_1})(z_{t_2} - \mu_{t_2})]$

(Auto)Cor : $\rho(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\gamma(t_1, t_2)}{\sigma_{t_1} \sigma_{t_2}}$

Let $T = I$, $t_1, t_2 \in T$.

So $\gamma_z(t_1, t_2) = \text{Cov}(z_{t_1}, z_{t_2})$

$= E[(z_{t_1} - \mu_{t_1})(z_{t_2} - \mu_{t_2})]$,

$\rho_z(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\gamma_z(t_1, t_2)}{\sqrt{\gamma_z(t_1, t_1)} \sqrt{\gamma_z(t_2, t_2)}}$.

① Cross-covariance function: $\gamma_{xy}(t_1, t_2) = E[(x_{t_1} - \mu_{x_{t_1}})(y_{t_2} - \mu_{y_{t_2}})]$

Cross-corr : $\rho_{xy}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\gamma_{xy}(t_1, t_2)}{\sqrt{\gamma_x(t_1, t_1)} \sqrt{\gamma_y(t_2, t_2)}} (X)$.

$\rho_{xy}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\gamma_{xy}(t_1, t_2)}{\sqrt{\gamma_x(t_1, t_1)} \sqrt{\gamma_y(t_2, t_2)}}$.

* Weak stationarity ; finite 2nd moment, const. μ and Cov, thr time.

① $E(|X_t|^4) < \infty \quad \forall t \in T$.

② $E(X_t) = m \quad \forall t \in T$

③ $\gamma_x(t_1, t_2) = \gamma_x(t_1 + t, t_2 + t) \quad \forall t, t_1, t_2 \in T$.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad E|X_t|^2 < \infty \quad \forall t \in T$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad E(X_t) = m \quad \forall t \in T$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \gamma_X(t_1, t_2) = \gamma_X(t_1 + k, t_2 + k) \quad \forall k, t_1, t_2 \in T.$$

Let $k = t_2 - t_1$, Then:
 ~~$\gamma_X(t_1, t_2) = \gamma_X(t_1 + k, t_1 + k)$~~
 ~~$\gamma_X(t_1, t_2) = \gamma_X(t_1 + k, t_2)$~~
 ~~$\gamma_X(t_1, t_2) = \gamma_X(t_1, t_2 - k)$~~

$$\text{So } \textcircled{3} \Leftrightarrow \gamma_X(t_1, t_2) = \gamma_X(t_1 + k, t_2 + k)$$
$$= \text{Cov}(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}) = \text{Cov}(X_{t_1+k}, X_{t_2+k})$$

Let $t_1 = t - k, t_2 = t$,

$$\text{Then } \gamma_X(t_1, t_2) = \gamma_X(t - k, t)$$
$$= \gamma_X(t + k + k, t + k) \quad (\because \textcircled{3})$$
$$= \gamma_X(t, t + k)$$
$$= \gamma_X(k) =: \gamma_X.$$

So Weak Stationarity $\Rightarrow \textcircled{3} \gamma_X(k) \text{ is } t_1 \& t_2\text{-invariant.}$

Def'n ~~$\gamma_k = \gamma_X(k), \gamma_0 = \text{Var}(X_t)$~~ ,
 $P_k = \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_0}$.

Note $\gamma_X(k) = \text{Cov}(X_{t+k}, X_t)$
 $= \gamma_X(t+k, t) \quad \forall t, k \in T,$
i.e. $\gamma_k = \gamma_X(1+k, 1) = \gamma_X(2+k, 2)$
 $= \gamma_X(3+k, 3) = \dots$.

* We call γ_k a ACVF, P_k a ACF, k a lag.

Properties

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \gamma_0 = \text{Var}(X_t), \quad P_0 = 1.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad |\gamma_k| \leq \gamma_0, \quad |P_k| \leq 1.$$

Why. $|P_k| \leq 1$ (\because corr)

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_0} \right| \leq 1 \Rightarrow |\gamma_k| \leq \gamma_0. \checkmark$$

$\textcircled{3}$ γ_k and P_k are even functions, i.e. $\gamma_k = \gamma_{-k}; P_k = P_{-k}$.

$\textcircled{4}$ For any set of time points t_1, \dots, t_n and any real #s d_1, \dots, d_n , $\begin{cases} \sum_i \sum_j d_i d_j \gamma_{|t_i - t_j|} \geq 0 \\ \sum_i \sum_j d_i d_j P_{|t_i - t_j|} \geq 0. \end{cases}$

Why \oplus Let $\text{Y} = \sum d_i z_t$.

$$\text{Then } \text{Var}(Y) = \sum \sum d_i d_j \underbrace{\text{Cov}(z_{ti}, z_{tj})}_{:= \rho_{z-z}(t, t+j)}$$

Divide the inequality by d_0 . ✓

* PACF

$$\text{PACF} = \text{Corr}(z_t, z_{t+k} | z_{t+1}, \dots, z_{t+k-1}).$$

$$= \rho_{z-z}(t, t+k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{t+k} &= \sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_{t+k-i}, \\ z_t &= \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i z_{t+i}. \end{aligned} \quad d_i = \beta_i, i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

"Investigate the correlation b/w z_t and z_{t+k} after their mutual linear dependency on the intervening variables $z_{t+1}, \dots, z_{t+k-1}$ has been removed."

Def'n A PACF b/w z_t and z_{t+k} is

$$\rho_k = \rho_{z-z}(t, t+k) = \frac{\text{Cov}(z_t - \hat{z}_t, z_{t+k} - \hat{z}_{t+k})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(z_t - \hat{z}_t) \text{Var}(z_{t+k} - \hat{z}_{t+k})}}$$

$$= \frac{\chi_{z-z}(t, t+k)}{\sqrt{\chi_{z-z}(t, t) \chi_{z-z}(t+k, t+k)}}$$

d_i 's are the mean squared linear reg coeffs obtained from minimizing $\chi_{z-z}(t+k, t+k)$

$$= E[(z_{t+k} - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_{t+k-i})^2]$$

~~~~~(differentiation, routine...)

$$\rightarrow \chi_i = d_1 \beta_{i-1} + \dots + d_{k-1} \beta_{i+k-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_i = d_1 \rho_{i-1} + \dots + d_{k-1} \rho_{i+k-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} d_j \rho_{i-j}.$$

In matrix form, ( $\rho_0 = 1$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \cdots & \rho_{k-2} \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 & \cdots & \rho_{k-3} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \rho_{k-1} & \underbrace{\rho_{k-2} \rho_{k-3} \rho_{k-4} \cdots \rho_0}_{(k-1) \times k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

OR, to calculate PACF at lag  $k$ , consider:

$$z_{t+k} = \phi_{k1} z_{t+k-1} + \phi_{k2} z_{t+k-2} + \cdots + \phi_{kk} z_t + \epsilon_{t+k}$$

where  $\phi_{ki}$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  regression param.,  
 $\epsilon_{t+k}$  is an error term w/ mean 0 and  
uncorrelated w/  $z_{t+k-j}$  for  $j=1, \dots, k$ .

$\{z_t\}$  a  
zero-mean  
(weakly)  
stationary  
process.

If not  
zero-mean  
( $\mu \neq 0$ ), use

$\phi_{kk}$  is our PACF  $\rho_k$ .

$$\text{From } z_{t+k} = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_{ki} z_{t+k-i} + \epsilon_{t+k},$$

multiply both by  $z_{t+k-j}$  and take  $E(\cdot)$ :

$$\rho_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_{ki} \rho_{j-i} + 0.$$

Divide  $\rho_j$  both by  $\rho_0$ :

$$\rho_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_{ki} \rho_{j-i}, \quad j=1, \dots, k$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \cdots & \rho_{k-1} \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 & \cdots & \rho_{k-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \rho_{k-1} & \rho_{k-2} & \rho_{k-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{k1} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

By Cramer's rule,  $\phi_{kk} = \frac{\det A_{-k}}{\det A}$ ,

where  $A_{-k}$  is the matrix w/  $k^{\text{th}}$  column  
replaced w/  $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k)^T$ .

~~Some Other~~

$$\phi_{00} := p_0 = 1, \quad \phi_{11} = p_1,$$

~~Some Other~~

$$\phi_{22} = \frac{\det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & 1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{\det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & 1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad \phi_{33} = \frac{\det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & 1 & p_2 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 & p_3 \\ p_3 & p_1 & p_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{\det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & 1 & p_2 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 & p_3 \\ p_3 & p_1 & p_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}, \text{ etc.}$$

## \* White Noise

Def'n A white noise process  $\{a_t\}$  is a sequence of uncorrelated r.v.s from a fixed dist. w/ const.

mean  $E(a_t) = \mu_a$  (usually 0), and

variance  $\text{Var}(a_t) = \sigma_a^2$ , and

$$\gamma_k = \text{Cov}(a_t, a_{t+k}) = 0 \quad \forall k \neq 0.$$

Unless mentioned otherwise,  $a_t \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2)$ .

$\{a_t\}$  is weakly stationary because ① finite 2nd moment, ② const. mean, and

$$\text{③ } \gamma_k = \text{Cov}(a_t, a_{t+k}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } k=0 \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $\gamma_k$  is a function of  $k$  only and  $t$ -invariant.

## • Statistics

Assume weakly stationary process.  $\rightarrow$  Mean:  $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n z_t = \hat{\mu}_0$ , i.e. time avg of  $n$  obs.

### Properties

① Unbiased:  $E(\bar{z}) = \mu_0$ .

② Consistent:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \text{Cov}(z_t, z_s) = \frac{\lambda_0}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n p_{|t-s|}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_0}{n^2} \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} (n-|k|) p_k \quad (k=t-s)$$

$$\text{e.g. } n=3 \rightarrow \begin{matrix} 1+p_1+p_2 \\ p_1+1+p_1 \\ p_2+p_1+1 \end{matrix} \rightarrow \begin{matrix} 1:3 \\ p_1:4 \\ p_2:2 \end{matrix}$$

$$n=4 \rightarrow \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{matrix} \rightarrow \begin{matrix} 0:4 \\ p_1:6 \\ p_2:4 \\ p_3:2 \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{S. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\bar{z}) = 0, \text{ i.e. } \text{Var}(\bar{z}) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Sufficient cond:  ~~$p_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$~~ .

Again:  $\text{Var}(\bar{z})$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \text{Cov}(z_t, z_s)$$

$$= \frac{\kappa_0}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n p_{|t-s|}$$

$$= \frac{\kappa_0}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n [p_{|t-1|} + p_{|t-2|} + \dots + p_{|t-n|}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 1+p_1+p_2+\dots+p_{n-1} = \frac{\kappa_0}{n^2} [1+p_1+p_2+\dots+p_{n-1} \\ & + p_1+1+p_1+\dots+p_{n-2} \\ & + \dots \\ & + p_{n-1}+p_{n-2}+p_{n-3}+\dots+1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \frac{\kappa_0}{n^2} [n + (n-1)p_1 + 2(n-2)p_2 \\ & + \dots + p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} + \dots + 1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \frac{\kappa_0}{n^2} \left[ \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} (n-|k|) p_k \right], \end{aligned}$$

→ ACVF

$$\hat{\gamma}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} (z_t - \bar{z})(z_{t+k} - \bar{z}) \Rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (z_t - \bar{z})^2$$

Properties:

- Biased + asymptotically biased

$$E(\hat{\gamma}_k) \approx \gamma_k - \frac{k}{n} \gamma_k - \left( \frac{n-k}{n} \right) \text{Var}(\bar{z}).$$

→ ACF

$$\hat{\rho}_k = \frac{\hat{\gamma}_k}{\hat{\gamma}_0} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-k} (z_t - \bar{z})(z_{t+k} - \bar{z})}{\sum_{t=1}^n (z_t - \bar{z})^2}, k=0, \dots, n-1.$$

Properties

$$\hat{\rho}_k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (z_t - \bar{z})(z_{t+k} - \bar{z})}{\sum_{t=1}^n (z_t - \bar{z})^2} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (z_t - \bar{z})(z_{t+k} - \bar{z})}{\sum_{t=1}^n (z_t - \bar{z})} = \hat{\rho}_k.$$

That is,  $\hat{\rho}_k$  is even wrt  $k$ , i.e. symmetric around  $k$ .

- $\hat{\rho}_k \sim N(0, \frac{1}{N})$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , where  $N = \text{length of } \{z_t\}$ .  
We usually take  $\max(k) \ll N$ .  
We expect 95% of  $\hat{\rho}_k$  will lie w/i  $\pm 2/\sqrt{N}$ ,  
 $z \approx qnorm(.975)$ .

→ PACF

$$\hat{\phi}_{kk} = \hat{\rho}_1 \text{ if } k=1.$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{kk} = \frac{\det \hat{A}_k}{\det \hat{A}}, \quad \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \hat{\rho}_1 & \hat{\rho}_2 & \cdots & \hat{\rho}_{k-1} \\ \hat{\rho}_1 & 1 & \hat{\rho}_1 & \cdots & \hat{\rho}_{k-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hat{\rho}_{k-1} & \hat{\rho}_{k-2} & \hat{\rho}_{k-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

Quenouille:  $H_0$ : underlying process is a white noise.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\phi}_{kk}) \approx \frac{1}{n}, \text{ Limits: } \pm 2/\sqrt{n}.$$

Recall  $\{a_t\}$  is a white noise if  $\text{Cov}(a_t, a_{t+h}) = 0$   
 $\forall k \neq 0$ ,  $E(a_t) = \mu_a$ , and  $\text{Var}(a_t) = \sigma_a^2 \quad \forall t \in T$ .

— Correlation in simple reg.

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + e_t$$

$$\rho_{xy}(t, t) = \text{Cov}(X_t, Y_t)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(x_t, \alpha + \beta x_t + e_t)$$

$$= 0 + \beta \sigma_{x_t}^2 + 0 = \beta \sigma_{x_t}^2 = \beta \sigma_x^2.$$

$$\text{Also, } \rho_{xy}(t, t) = \frac{\rho_{xy}(t, t)}{\sqrt{\rho_x(t, t)\rho_y(t, t)}} = \frac{\beta \sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}.$$

$$\cancel{\text{Cov}(X_t, Y_t) = \rho_{xy}(0) \sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \rho_{xy}(t, t) = \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x} = \rho_{xy}(0, 0) \cdot \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x} \propto \rho_{xy}(0, 0).$$

\* If  $\{x_t\}$  and  $\{y_t\}$  are stationary, indep. of each other, then  $\sqrt{n} \hat{\gamma}_{xy}(k)$  is asymptotically normal w/  $\mu=0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J \rho_x(j) \rho_y(j)$ .

(+ no serial corr.)  $\hat{\gamma}_{xy}(k) \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n})$   
size of sample.

## — Approaches to Time Series Analysis

- Time Domain Analysis
  - Use ACF and PACF to study the evolution of a time series through parametric models
  - Focus on modelling some future values of a time series as a parametric function of the current and past values
- Frequency domain analysis
  - Use spectral functions to study nonparametric decomposition of a time series into its different frequency components
  - Assume that the primary characteristics of interest in time series analysis relate to periodic or systematic sinusoidal variations found naturally in more data.

(TDA > FDA over short samples)

## — Decomposition of TS

- trend + seasonal variation + cyclical variation  
+ irregular fluctuations.
- long-term change in the mean level  
+ variation exhibited in every periods  
+ variation w/ i a fixed period due to some other physical cause  
+ noise.

— Steps to time series modelling.

- ① Plot the time series ~~series~~ and check for trend, seasonal and other cyclic components, any apparent sharp changes in behaviour, as well as any outlying observations.
- ② Remove trend, and seasonal components to get residuals.
- ③ Choose a model to fit the residuals.
- ④ Forecast residuals and then invert the transformation carried out in ②.

— Stationary time series models

\* AR( $p$ ) process :  $\phi_p(\beta) \dot{z}_t = a_t$ ,  $\dot{z}_t = z_t - \mu$ .

$$\phi_p(\beta) = (-\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j \beta^j) ; \quad \begin{cases} \phi_k \neq 0 & \text{if } k \leq p \\ \phi_k = 0 & \text{if } k > p. \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_p(\beta) \dot{z}_t = a_t$$

$$= \dot{z}_t - \phi_1 \dot{z}_{t-1} - \phi_2 \dot{z}_{t-2} - \dots - \phi_p \dot{z}_{t-p} = a_t.$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{z}_t = \phi_1 \dot{z}_{t-1} + \phi_2 \dot{z}_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p \dot{z}_{t-p} + a_t.$$

Note ① If  $\sum_{j=1}^p |\phi_j| < \infty$ , then the process is invertible.  
(that is,  $AR(p) \rightarrow MA(\infty)$  possible),

② Roots of  $\phi_p(x) = 0$  lie outside of the unit circle  $\Leftrightarrow$  stationary.

e.g. AR(1) of  $\mu_20$  process,

$$\phi \phi_1(\beta) z_t = a_t$$

$$= z_t - \phi_1 z_{t-1} = a_t$$

$$\Rightarrow z_t = \phi_1 z_{t-1} + a_t, \quad a_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2).$$

Q: What is  $\phi_k$ ?

A:  $E(z_t) = 0$ . Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$z_{t+k} z_t = \phi_1 z_{t-1} z_{t+k} + a_t z_{t-k}$$

$$\text{Taking } E(\cdot) \text{ gives } x_k = \phi_1 x_{k-1}.$$

$\therefore p_k = \phi_1 p_{k-1}$  by dividing both by  $x_0$ .

$$k=1 \Rightarrow p_1 = \phi_1, p_0 = \phi_1$$

$$k=2 \Rightarrow p_2 = \phi_1^2, p_1 = \phi_1, p_0 = \phi_1^2.$$

Inductively,  $\therefore p_k = \phi_1^k$ ,

Q. But why is  $E(a_t z_{t-k}) = 0$ ? ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ )

$$\text{A. } z_{t-k} = \phi_1 z_{t-(k+1)} + a_{t-k},$$

$$z_{t-(k+1)} = \phi_1 z_{t-(k+2)} + a_{t-(k+1)}$$

↓

$$\begin{aligned} z_{t-k} &= \phi_1 [\phi_1 z_{t-(k+2)} + a_{t-(k+1)}] + a_{t-k} \\ &= \phi_1^2 z_{t-(k+2)} + \phi_1 a_{t-(k+1)} + a_{t-k} \\ &= \dots \text{ (induction)} \\ &= \phi_1^\infty z_{t-(k+\infty)} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i a_{t-(k+i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i a_{t-(k+i)} \quad \text{if } \phi_1 \neq 1 \\ &\quad (\because \phi_1^\infty = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Or, equivalently,  $\phi_p(\beta) z_t = a_t \Rightarrow z_t = \Theta_n(\beta) a_t$ .

~~$\Rightarrow z_t = \Theta_n(\beta) a_t$~~

### → 3 stages of Box-Jenkins Approach

Start  
 . TS realization  
 . Understand a problem  
 . Collect + plot data

① Identify a prelim time series model

- perform differencing + transformations to transform data into stationary
- Identify prelim ARIMA( $p, q$ ) models using ACF and PACF.

If the fitted model fails diagnostic test

identify another model.

② Estimate the model parameters

- MoM, MLE, Kalman Filter, etc.

③ Diagnose model adequacy

- Examine if the res of the fitted model are approx. uncorrelated

→ If passes use model for anal

\* ARMA(p, q):  $\phi_p(\beta) \varepsilon_t = \theta_q(\beta) a_t$ ,  
 $\phi_p(\beta) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j \beta^j$ ,  $\theta_q(\beta) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \beta^j$ .

\* MA( $\infty$ )

$\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is a MA( $\infty$ ) process of  $\{a_t\}$  if  $\exists (\psi_j)_{j=0}^\infty$   
 w/  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\psi_j| < \infty$  s.t.,  $\varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \psi_j a_{t-j}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

\* As long as  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  can be written in a form of MA( $\infty$ ) process, we can calculate ACF of  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ .

THM MA( $\infty$ ) process  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is stationary w/ mean 0  
 and ACF  $\gamma_k = \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^\infty \psi_j \psi_{j+k}$ .

. Special case: MA(q):  $\varepsilon_t = \theta_q(\beta) a_t$ ,  $a_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2)$ .  
 e.g., MA(2)

Q: Find  $\gamma_k$ 's.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \varepsilon_t &= \theta_2(\beta) a_t \\ &= (1 + \theta_1 \beta + \theta_2 \beta^2) a_t \\ &= a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \theta_2 a_{t-2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_k := \text{Cov}(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t+k})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Cov}(a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \theta_2 a_{t-2}, a_{t+k} + \theta_1 a_{t-k} + \theta_2 a_{t-2k}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(a_t, a_{t+k}) + \theta_1 \text{Cov}(a_{t-1}, a_{t+k}) + \theta_2 \text{Cov}(a_{t-2}, a_{t+k}) \\ &\quad + \theta_1 \text{Cov}(a_t, a_{t-1+k}) + \theta_1^2 \text{Cov}(a_{t-1}, a_{t-1+k}) + \theta_1 \theta_2 \text{Cov}(a_{t-2}, a_{t-1+k}) \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k=0 \rightarrow \gamma_0 &= \text{Cov}(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_t) = \text{Cov}(a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \theta_2 a_{t-2}, a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \theta_2 a_{t-2}) \\ &= \sigma_a^2 + \theta_1^2 \sigma_a^2 + \theta_2^2 \sigma_a^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k=1 \rightarrow \gamma_1 &= \text{Cov}(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) = \text{Cov}(a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \theta_2 a_{t-2}, a_{t+1} + \theta_1 a_{t} + \theta_2 a_{t-1}) \\ &= \theta_1 \sigma_a^2 + \theta_1 \theta_2 \sigma_a^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k=2 \rightarrow \gamma_2 &= \text{Cov}(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t+2}) = \text{Cov}(a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \theta_2 a_{t-2}, a_{t+2} + \theta_1 a_{t+1} + \theta_2 a_t) \\ &= \theta_2 \sigma_a^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$k \geq 3 \rightarrow \gamma_k = 0,$$

e.g. AR(2). Find  $\gamma_k$ . For that matter, AR(p).

$$\phi_2(\beta) x_t = a_t, \quad a_t \sim N(0, \sigma_a^2).$$

~~Excess Correlation Function~~

$$\Rightarrow x_t = \theta_0(\beta) a_t$$

$$\gamma_k = \text{Cov}(x_t, x_{t+k})$$

$$= \text{Cov}(\theta_0(\beta) a_t, \theta_0(\beta) a_{t+k})$$

$$\gamma_0 = \text{Cov}(\theta_0(\beta) a_t, \theta_0(\beta) a_t)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(a_t + \theta_1 a_{t+1} + \theta_2 a_{t+2} + \dots, a_t + \theta_1 a_{t+1} + \theta_2 a_{t+2} + \dots)$$

$$= \sigma_a^2 + \theta_1^2 \sigma_a^2 + \theta_2^2 \sigma_a^2 + \dots$$

$$= \sigma_a^2 \sum_{j=0}^k \theta_j^2, \quad \theta_0 = 1.$$

~~Total Autocorrelation~~

Redo.  $(\theta_0 = 1)$

$$\gamma_k = \text{Cov}(x_t, x_{t+k}) = \text{Cov}(\theta_0(\beta) a_t, \theta_0(\beta) a_{t+k})$$

$$= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j a_{t-j}, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j a_{t+k-j}\right)$$

$$= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j a_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j a_{t+k-j}, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j a_{t+k-j}\right)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(\theta_0 a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \theta_2 a_{t-2} + \dots, \theta_0 a_{t+k} + \theta_1 a_{t+k-1} + \dots)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(\theta_0 a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \dots, \theta_0 a_{t+k} + \theta_1 a_{t+k-1} + \dots)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(\theta_0 a_t + \theta_1 a_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_k a_{t+k} + \theta_{k+1} a_{t+k+1} + \dots, \theta_0 a_{t+k} + \dots)$$

$$= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \theta_j a_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_{k+j} a_{t+k-j}, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j a_{t+k-j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j \theta_{j+k} \sigma_a^2$$

e.g. AR(2). Find  $\gamma_k$ 's.

$$\text{So } x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + a_t.$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_k = \phi_1 \gamma_{k-1} + \phi_2 \gamma_{k-2}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

$$\text{WAY 1} \quad x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + a_t$$

$$\Rightarrow x_t x_t = \phi_1 x_t x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_t x_{t-2} + a_t x_t$$

$$\Rightarrow (\text{E.L.}) \quad x_0 = \phi_1 x_1 + \phi_2 x_2 + \sigma_a^2,$$

$$\therefore E(a_t x_t) = E(a_t (\phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + a_t))$$

$$= 0 + 0 + \sigma_a^2.$$

$$\text{So; } \begin{cases} x_0 = \phi_1 x_1 + \phi_2 x_2 + \sigma_a^2 \\ x_k = \phi_1 x_{k-1} + \phi_2 x_{k-2}, \quad k \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$k=1 \Rightarrow x_1 = \phi_1 x_0 + \phi_2 x_1 \rightarrow -\phi_1 x_0 + (1-\phi_1)x_1 = 0.$$

$$k=2 \Rightarrow x_2 = \phi_1 x_1 + \phi_2 x_0$$

$$x_0 - \phi_1 x_1 - \phi_2 x_0 = 0$$

That is  $\begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{That is, } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\phi_1 & -\phi_2 \\ -\phi_1 & 1-\phi_2 & 0 \\ -\phi_2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_a^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\phi_1 & -\phi_2 & \sigma_a^2 \\ -\phi_1 & 1-\phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  will give  $x_0, x_1$ , and  $x_2$ .

$$\text{e.g. } x_t = 0.7 x_{t-1} - 0.12 x_{t-2} + a_t, \quad a_t \sim N(0, 0.1).$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.7 & -0.12 & 0 \\ -0.7 & 1.12 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore x_0 = \frac{250}{1239}, \quad x_1 = \frac{625}{4956}, \quad x_2 = -\frac{1115}{9912}.$$

$$x_3 = \phi_1 x_2 + \phi_2 x_1$$

$$= 0.7x_2 - \frac{1115}{9912} + (-0.12) \times \frac{625}{4956},$$

WAY 2 Rec's.

WAY 3 Markov chain

What about  $\phi_{kk}$ 's?

$$\text{e.g. } x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + a_t$$

$$\Rightarrow r_k = \phi_1 r_{k-1} + \phi_2 r_{k-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_k = \phi_1 p_{k-1} + \phi_2 p_{k-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p_1 \\ p_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

So in fact, if  $x_t \sim AR(p)$ , then  $\phi_p = \phi_{pp}$ !

e.g.  $x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + a_t$ . Find  $\phi_{11}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  ① Restrict i to a desired lag.

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p_1 \\ p_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{21} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ p_1 + p_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{21} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{k-1} \\ p_1 & 1 & p_1 & \cdots & p_{k-2} \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ p_{k-1} & p_{k-2} & p_{k-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad p_k = \phi_1 p_{k-1} + \phi_2 p_{k-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_0 = \phi_1 p_1 + \phi_2 p_2 = 1$$

$$p_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 p_1 \Rightarrow (1 - \phi_2) p_1 = \phi_{11}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_1 = \frac{\phi_{11}}{1 - \phi_2} = \phi_{11}.$$

Summary:

$AR(p) \Rightarrow r_k = \text{exists } \forall k, \phi_{kk} = 0 \text{ if } k > p$ .

$MA(q) \Rightarrow r_k = 0 \text{ if } k > q, \phi_{kk} \text{ exists } \forall k$ .

## — Causal & Invertible process

stationary  $\Leftrightarrow$  Causal:  $\{x_t\}$  can be written in terms of  $\{a_s | s \leq t\}$ .

Invertible:

No restrictions on  $\{\theta_j\}$  are required for a finite-order MA process to be stationary. The imposition of the invertible condition ensures that there's ~~one~~ the unique MA process for a given set of ACFs.

$$\sum |\theta_j| < \infty$$

Note  $(AR(p))$  is always invertible;

" is stationary if ~~all roots inside unit circle~~, roots outside of

$(MA(q))$  is invertible if ~~all roots inside unit circle~~, roots outside of

" is ~~not~~ always stationary

$$\sum |\theta_j| < \infty$$

unit circle.

unit circle.

unit circle.

If  $(AR(p))$  is stationary, then  $AR(p) \Leftrightarrow MA(\infty) \exists MA$ .

$MA(q)$  is invertible, then  $MA(q) \Leftrightarrow AR(\infty) \exists AR$ .

~~AR always invertible~~

~~ARMA(p,q) :  $\Phi_p(B)x_t = \Theta_q(B)x_t$~~

~~ARMA(p,q) is:~~

- ~~stationary if roots of  $\Phi_q(B)$  outside of unit circle~~
- ~~invertible if roots of  $\Theta_p(B)$  outside of unit circle.~~
- ~~stationary (causal) if  $\exists (\psi_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$  and  $\sum j \psi_j < 0$  and~~

$\psi_0 = 1 \rightarrow$  stationary if  $\exists (\psi_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$  and  
 $x_t = \Psi_m(B)x_t$  (notice  $x_t = \frac{\Theta_q(B)}{\Phi_p(B)}a_t$ ),  $\Psi_m(B) = \frac{\Theta_q(B)}{\Phi_p(B)}$ ,  $|B| \leq 1$

$\pi_0 = 1 \rightarrow$  invertible if  $\exists (\pi_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $\sum |\pi_j| < \infty$  and  
 $\Pi_m(B)x_t = a_t$  ( $\frac{\Theta_q(B)}{\Phi_p(B)} = \Pi(B)$ ,  $|B| \leq 1$ ).

AR always  
invertible      MA always  
stationary

i.e.  $\text{ARMA}(p, q)$  is:  $(\Phi_p(\beta) z_t = \Theta_q(\beta) a_t)$

$\rightarrow$  causal (stationary) iff all the roots of  $\Phi_p(\beta)$  lie outside the unit circle  $\Leftrightarrow \textcircled{1}$

$\rightarrow$  invertible iff all the roots of  $\Theta_q(\beta)$  lie outside the unit circle  $\Leftrightarrow \textcircled{2}$

$\textcircled{1} \quad \Phi_{\infty}(\beta) = \frac{\Theta(\beta)}{\Phi(\beta)}$  has coefficients  $\phi_{ik}$  domain.

$$\sum |y_j| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad z_t = \Phi_{\infty}(\beta) a_t \Leftrightarrow \phi_{\infty}(z) \text{ for } |z| \leq 1.$$

$\textcircled{2} \quad \Pi_{\infty}(\beta) = \frac{\Theta(\beta)}{\Theta(\beta)}$  has coefficients

$$\sum |\pi_j| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_{\infty}(\beta) z_t = a_t \Leftrightarrow \Pi_{\infty}(z), |z| \leq 1. \quad \text{u } \underline{\Theta(z)} \text{ for } \underline{\Theta(z)}$$

— How to find  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ , etc.

① Find  $P_k$ 's,

② Use the eqn:

$$\phi_{kk} = \frac{\det A_k}{\det A}$$

fixed variable  $\det A$  determines the size of  $A_{kk}$ .

$$k=0 \rightarrow \phi_{00} = p_0 = 1$$

$$k=1 \rightarrow \phi_1 = p_1$$

$$k=2 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$k=3 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_2 \\ p_1 & 1 & p_1 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ etc.}$$

$$k=4 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & 1 & p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 & p_1 \\ p_3 & p_2 & p_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ etc.}$$

Computing  $3 \times 3$  det:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} = a \det \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & i \end{bmatrix} - b \det \begin{bmatrix} d & f \\ g & i \end{bmatrix} + c \det \begin{bmatrix} d & e \\ g & h \end{bmatrix}.$$

$(4 \times 4)$ :

$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \\ i & j & k & l \\ m & n & o & p \end{vmatrix} = a \begin{vmatrix} f & g & h \\ j & k & l \\ n & o & p \end{vmatrix} - b \begin{vmatrix} e & g & h \\ i & k & l \\ m & o & p \end{vmatrix} + c \begin{vmatrix} e & f & h \\ i & j & l \\ m & n & p \end{vmatrix} - d \begin{vmatrix} e & f & g \\ i & j & k \\ m & n & o \end{vmatrix}$$

e.g. Suppose  $E(S^2) = \frac{n}{n-1}\bar{\gamma}_0 - \frac{n}{n-1}\text{Var}(\bar{z})$ .

Show that  $\frac{E(S^2)}{\bar{\gamma}_0} = 1 - \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kp_k \right]$ .

$$\text{So } \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}_0} E(S^2) = \frac{n}{n-1} - \frac{n}{n-1} \text{Var}(\bar{z}).$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \text{Cov}(z_t, z_s)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \bar{z}_{|t-s|}$$

$$\frac{\text{Var}(\bar{z})}{\bar{\gamma}_0} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_t \sum_s p_{|t-s|} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n [p_{|t-1|} + p_{|t-2|} + \dots + p_{|t-n|}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} [n + 2(n-1)p_{|n|} + 2(n-2)p_{|n-2|} + \dots + 2(n-m+1)p_{|m|}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \left[ n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z(n-k)p_k \right]$$

$$\text{Thus } \frac{E(S^2)}{\bar{\gamma}_0} = \frac{n}{n-1} - \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \left[ n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z(n-k)p_k \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{n-1} - \frac{1}{(n-1)n} \left[ n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z(n-k)p_k \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z(n-k)p_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1 - \frac{1}{(n-1)n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2(n-k)p_k \\
 &= 1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)p_k \\
 &= 1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k}{n} p_k \\
 &= 1 - \frac{2}{n-1} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} \right) p_k \right] \\
 &= \dots \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{n-1} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k p_k \right] \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{S}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=1}^n (z_t - \bar{z})^2$ ,

a biased est. of  $TS$ , some suggested to use a corrective const.

$$\hat{\delta} = C_N S, \text{ where the length of } TS$$

$$\text{and } C_N = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{T(N-1)/2}{T(N/2)}.$$

$C_N \propto S$ .

~~As  $N \uparrow$ ,  $C_N \approx 1$ .~~

$\hat{ACF}$ :  $\hat{\gamma}_k$  and  $\hat{\rho}_k$ .

$$\hat{\gamma}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} (z_t - \bar{z})(z_{t+k} - \bar{z})$$

$$\hat{\rho}_k = \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} (z_t - \bar{z})(z_{t+k} - \bar{z}).$$

$$E(\hat{\gamma}_k) \approx \gamma_k - \frac{k}{n} - \frac{n-k}{n} \text{Var}(\bar{z})$$

$$E(\hat{\rho}_k) = \gamma_k - \text{Var}(\bar{z}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i z_{ti} \\
 \text{Var}(Y) &= \sum \sum d_i d_j z_{ti} z_{tj}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Comments
- ①  $\text{Bias}(\hat{\gamma}_k) > \text{Bias}(\hat{\rho}_k)$  especially  $k$  large wrt  $n$ .
  - ②  $\hat{\gamma}_k$  is positive semidefinite but  $\hat{\rho}_k$  isn't.
  - ③  $\text{Var}(\hat{\gamma}_k) < \text{Var}(\hat{\rho}_k)$ .

$$\Phi_p(\beta)x_t = \Theta_q(\beta)a_t$$

~~Partial autocorrelation and root tests~~

|           | ACF            | PACF           | Comment                    |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| AR(p)     | 0 if $k > p$   | 0 if $k > p$   | Always invertible          |
| MA(q)     | 0 if $k > q$   | continues      | Always stationary (causal) |
| ARMA(p,q) | 0 if $k > p+q$ | 0 if $k > p+q$ |                            |

~~Model adequacy~~

### — Wold decomposition

Any zero-mean process  $\{x_t\}$  which is not deterministic can be expressed as a sum of  $x_t = u_t + v_t$ , where  $\{u_t\}$  denotes an MA(∞) process and  $\{v_t\}$  is a deterministic process which is uncorrelated w/  $\{u_t\}$ .

$\{x_t\}$  is deterministic if the values  $x_{n+j}$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , of the process  $\{x_t | t=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  were perfectly predictable in terms of  $\text{span}\{x_t | -\infty < t \leq n\}$ .

### — Model adequacy or diagnostic checking

Parameters are estimated by MoM, MLE, Kalman Filter, etc.

$$\text{ARMA}(p,q) : \Phi_p(\beta)x_t = \Theta_q(\beta)a_t.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \quad & \Phi_p(\beta)x_t = u_t + \theta_1 u_{t-1} + \theta_2 u_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q u_{t-q}. \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \hat{\Phi}_p(\beta)\hat{x}_t - \hat{\theta}_1 \hat{x}_{t-1} - \hat{\theta}_2 \hat{x}_{t-2} - \dots - \hat{\theta}_q \hat{x}_{t-q} = \hat{u}_t. \end{aligned}$$

ACF among residuals:

$$\hat{\rho}_k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \hat{u}_t \hat{u}_{t+k}}{\sum_{t=1}^n \hat{u}_t^2} = \text{ACF of residual at lag } k.$$

$$\hat{\rho}_k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-k} \hat{a}_t \hat{a}_{t+k}}{\sum_{t=1}^n \hat{a}_t^2}$$

20

### Portmanteau test

→ the overall test that checks an entire group of residual ACFs assuming that the model is adequate.

→ Two popular tests:

$$Q_{BP} = n \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m \hat{\rho}_k^2 \sim \chi^2_{m-(p+q)}$$

$$Q_{LB} = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n(n+k)}{(n-k)} \hat{\rho}_k^2 \sim \chi^2_{m-(p+q)}$$

→ Pros: (practical purposes)

minimal req. to use the fitted model

→ Cons: lack power if comparing w/ traditional statistical tests, e.g. LRT.

### Solving

- ① Finite sample adjustments
- ② Complicated functional of residual ACFs
- ③ Monte Carlo test
- ④ Other applications: portmanteau tests for randomness and ARMA models w/ infinite variance innovations

### — Model selection

→ Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)

→ Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

$$AIC = -2 \log ML + 2k$$

$$BIC = -2 \log ML + k \log(n)$$

ML denotes MLE,  $\log ML$  is the value of maximized log-likelihood function for a model fitted to a given data, and  $k$  is the # of

independently adjusted parameters w/ the model

Remark: BIC puts more ~~penalty~~ penalties on the number of parameters used by fitted models, and some empirical studies indicate that the model selected by BIC performs better in the post-sample analysis, such as forecasting.

Select  $k$  w/ the lowest AIC/BIC.

### \* ARIMA

Def'n:  $\{X_t\}$  is said to follow an ARIMA model of order  $(p, d, q)$  if  $W_t = (1 - \beta)^d X_t$  is a stationary ARMA model.

$$\text{So } (1 - \beta)^d \Phi_p(\beta) X_t = \Theta_q(\beta) \alpha_t, \quad \alpha_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

$$\text{Def'n } \nabla^d = (1 - \beta)^d.$$

Suppose  $X_t$  is stationary, and  $Y_t = a + b t + c t^2 + X_t$ .

$$\text{Then } \nabla^d Y_t = \nabla^d a + \nabla^d b t + \nabla^d c t^2 + \nabla^d X_t. \text{ Let } d=2.$$

$$(1 - \beta)^2 a = (1 - 2\beta + \beta^2) a = a - 2a + a = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \beta)^2 b t &= (1 - 2\beta + \beta^2) b t \\ &= b t - 2b(t-1) + b(t-2) \\ &= 2b t - 2b t + 2b - 2b = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - \beta)^2 c t^2 = (1 - 2\beta + \beta^2) c t^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\cancel{+ c t^2 - 2c(t-1)^2 + c(t-2)^2} \\ &\cancel{+ c t^2 - 2c(t-2)t + c(t-2t+1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\cancel{+ t^2 + c(t^2 - 2t + 1)} = c t^2 - c t^2 + 2ct - c \\ &\cancel{+ 2ct - c} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((-\beta)^2 c t^2 \\
 & = (-2\beta + \beta^2) c t^2 \\
 & = ct^2 - 2c(t-1)^2 + c(t-2)^2 \\
 & = ct^2 - 2c(t^2 - 2t + 1) + c(t^2 - 4t + 4) \\
 & = ct^2 - 2ct^2 + 4ct - 2c + ct^2 - 4ct + 4c \\
 & = 2c
 \end{aligned}$$

Is  $(-\beta)^2 X_t$  stationary?

Since  $X_t$  is stationary, we have

$$E(X_t) = m, E(|X_t|^2) < \infty,$$

$$\text{and } \gamma_X(t, s) = \gamma_X(r+t, r+s), \forall t, r, s \in T.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t-k \downarrow t \\
 & X_X(t_1, t_2) \\
 & = X_X(t-k, t) \\
 & = X_X(t, t+k) \\
 & = \gamma_X.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(-\beta)^2 X_t = (-2\beta + \beta^2) X_t = X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2}.$$

$$E(X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2})$$

$$= m - 2m + m = 0, \checkmark$$

$$E(|X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2}|^2)$$

$$\leq E(|X_t|^2 + |2X_{t-1}|^2 + |X_{t-2}|^2) < \infty, \checkmark$$

$$E((X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2})(X_{t-2} - 2X_{t-3} + X_{t-4})) (\because \mu = 0)$$

$$E(X_{t-2} - 2X_{t-3} + X_{t-4})$$

$$\text{Cov}(X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2}, X_{t-2} - 2X_{t-3} + X_{t-4})$$

$$= \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t-2}) - 2\text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}) + \text{Cov}(X_{t-2}, X_{t-3})$$

$$\rightarrow 2\text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}) + 4\text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}) - 2\text{Cov}(X_{t-2}, X_{t-3})$$

$$+ \text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}) \rightarrow 2\text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}) + \text{Cov}(X_{t-2}, X_{t-3}).$$

So it is a function of lag  $k$ .  $\checkmark$

$\therefore (-\beta)^2 X_t$  is also stationary.

Def'n

$$\nabla_d = (1 - \beta^d),$$

$$\text{So } \nabla^d = (1 - \beta)^d, \quad \nabla_d = (1 - \beta^d).$$

$$\text{e.g. } \nabla_d X_t = (1 - \beta^d) X_t = X_t - X_{t-d}.$$

\*  $I(d)$  process and DF unit root test.

Def'n A series follows a stationary ARMA model after differencing  $d$  times is said to be integrated of order  $d$ , or  $I(d)$  process.  
i.e.  $X_t$  is  $I(d)$  if  $W_t = (1 - \beta)^d X_t$  is stationary.

Def'n A Dickey-Fuller test tests the  $H_0$  whether a unit root is present in an AR model.  
The  $H_1$  is usually stationarity or trend-stationarity.

e.g. Test  $I(1)$ .

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + a_t, \quad a_t \sim NID(0, \sigma^2).$$

$$\nabla X_t = \phi \nabla X_{t-1} + \nabla a_t$$

$$X_t - X_{t-1} = \phi(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}) + a_{t-1}$$

$$X_t - X_{t-1} = \phi X_{t-1} - \phi X_{t-2} + a_{t-1} \\ = \phi X_{t-1} - (X_{t-1} - a_{t-1}) + a_{t-1}$$

$$X_t - X_{t-1} = \phi X_{t-1} - X_{t-1} + a_{t-1} + a_t$$

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + a_{t-1} + a_t$$

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + a_t$$

$$X_t - X_{t-1} = \phi X_{t-1} - X_{t-1} + a_t$$

$$\therefore \nabla X_t = (\phi - 1) X_{t-1} + a_t.$$

$$H_0: \pi = 0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1: \pi > 0$$

$$\text{or} \quad X_t \sim I(1)$$

$$\text{or} \quad X_t \sim I(0).$$

• General DF-test:

$$\nabla X_t = \alpha + \tau^T DR_t + \pi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t,$$

$$(\tau^T = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots))$$

$$(DR_t = (t, t^2, t^3, \dots)),$$

$\alpha$  = regression ~~less~~ intercept

• Problems w/ DF test:

→ DF test ~~assumes~~ considers only a single unit root.

→ ~~assumes~~ assumes a correct model specification,  
i.e. correct specification of time trend and  
intercept. DGP may contain both AR and  
MA terms, and there might be structural  
breaks in the data.

• Detecting multiple roots

If more than one unit root is suspected,  
then perform DF tests on successive differences of  
 $X_t$ .

e.g. Two roots suspected.

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\nabla X_t = (\phi - 1) X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$= \pi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\nabla^2 X_t = \tau \nabla X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Use the appropriate statistic to determine  
whether  $\pi$  is sig. diff. from 0. If you  
cannot reject  $H_0: \pi_1 = 0$ , conclude that

$$X_t \sim I(2).$$

If  $\pi_1 \approx 0$ , determine if there is a single unit root:

~~$\nabla^2 X_t = \pi_1 \nabla X_{t-1} + \pi_2 X_{t-2} + a_t$~~

$$\nabla^2 X_t = \pi_1 \nabla X_{t-1} + \pi_2 X_{t-2} + a_t.$$

Single unit root  $\Rightarrow H_0: \pi_1 < 0$  and  $\pi_2 = 0$ .

We can use the DF critical values to test the null hypothesis  $\pi_2 = 0$ .

Reject  $H_0 \Rightarrow X_t$  is stationary.

### \* Augmented DF (ADF) test

$$\nabla X_t = \tau^T D R_t + \pi_1 X_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j \nabla X_{t-j} + a_t, k=p-1.$$

The equation uses the autoregression to take into account the presence of serial correlated errors.

Selection of  $p$ :

① Autoregression approximation

$$ARIMA(1,1,q) \approx ARIMA(n, 1, 0), n \leq T^{1/3}.$$

② General-to-specific methodology.

Start w/  $p^*$ . If the  $t$ -statistic of lag  $p^*$  is insignificant at some specified critical value, re-estimate the regression using  $p^*-1$ . Repeat the process until the last lag is sig. diff. from 0.

Once our tentative lag length is determined, conduct the diagnostic checking such as looking at res., autocorrelation plot or conducting portmanteau tests on regression residuals.